

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,767 10/14/2003		10/14/2003	James R. Mujwid	6683.69USU1	6331
43541	7590	12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE	& BENSO	NC	REIMERS, ANNETTE R		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		CKETING D CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
90 SOUTH	17TH STR	EET	3733		
MINNEAI	POLIS, MI	N 55402-3901	DATE MAIL ED. 12/00/2004		

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Thin

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/685,767	MUJWID ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Annette R. Reimers	3733

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Annette R. Reimers	3733						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
HE REPLY FILED <u>02 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation of the state		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).						
	PRIMARY EX	ROBERT AMINER						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of the subject matter of claim 10 into claim 1 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 21-25. It is noted that the subject matter of claim 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 21-25. In addition, the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 8 and 10 into claim 2 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 3-7, 9 and 11. It is noted that the subject matter of claims 8 and 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 3-7, 9 and 11. Furthermore, the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 10 and 16 into claim 12 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 13-15. It is noted that the subject matter of claims 10 and 16 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claim 13-15. Moreover, the inclusion of the subject matter of claim 10 into claim 17 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 18-19, It is noted that the subject matter of claim 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 18-19.

